

Ministry of Tourism, Culture and Sport

Archaeology Programs Unit
Programs and Services Branch
Culture Division
401 Bay Street, Suite 1700
Toronto ON M7A 0A7
Tel.: (519) 675-6898
Email: Shari.Prowse@ontario.ca

Ministère du Tourisme, de la Culture et du Sport

Unité des programmes d'archéologie
Direction des programmes et des services
Division de culture
401, rue Bay, bureau 1700
Toronto ON M7A 0A7
Tél. : (519) 675-6898
Email: Shari.Prowse@ontario.ca



Oct 18, 2016

Kristy O'Neal (P066)
Mayer Heritage Consultants Inc.
3Shedden ON N0L 2E0

RE: Review and Entry into the Ontario Public Register of Archaeological Reports: Archaeological Assessment Report Entitled, "Original Report on Archaeological Assessment (Stages 1 & 2) Northgate Land Corp. & Erbsville Kartway Lands Conservation Drive, Part of Lot 28, German Company Tract Geographic Township of Waterloo, City of Waterloo, Regional Municipality of Waterloo, Ontario ", Dated Sep 6, 2016, Filed with MTCS Toronto Office on Sep 13, 2016, MTCS Project Information Form Number P066-0241-2015, MTCS File Number 0005622

Dear Mrs. O'Neal:

This office has reviewed the above-mentioned report, which has been submitted to this ministry as a condition of licensing in accordance with Part VI of the Ontario Heritage Act, R.S.O. 1990, c 0.18.¹ This review has been carried out in order to determine whether the licensed professional consultant archaeologist has met the terms and conditions of their licence, that the licensee assessed the property and documented archaeological resources using a process that accords with the 2011 Standards and Guidelines for Consultant Archaeologists set by the ministry, and that the archaeological fieldwork and report recommendations are consistent with the conservation, protection and preservation of the cultural heritage of Ontario.

The report documents the Stage 1- 2 assessment of the study area as depicted in Map 5 of the above titled report and recommends the following:

1. The Euro-Canadian site identified in this report as Location 1 does not meet the criteria for requiring Stage 3 assessment listed in Section 2.2. Standard 1 of the Ontario Ministry of Tourism, Culture and Sport's 2011 Standards and Guidelines for Consultant Archaeologists. Location 1 is deemed to have little cultural heritage value or interest and it is considered sufficiently assessed at Stage 2. As such, no additional fieldwork or assessment is recommended for Location 1.
2. The Euro-Canadian site identified in this report as Location 2 does not meet the criteria for requiring Stage 3 assessment listed in Section 2.2. Standard 1 of the Ontario Ministry of Tourism, Culture and Sport's 2011 Standards and Guidelines for Consultant Archaeologists. Location 2 is deemed to have little cultural heritage value or interest and it is considered sufficiently assessed at Stage 2. As such, no additional fieldwork or assessment is recommended for Location 2.
3. The Euro-Canadian site identified in this report as Location 3 does not meet the criteria for requiring

Stage 3 assessment listed in Section 2.2. Standard 1 of the Ontario Ministry of Tourism, Culture and Sport's 2011 Standards and Guidelines for Consultant Archaeologists. Location 3 is deemed to have little cultural heritage value or interest and it is considered sufficiently assessed at Stage 2. As such, no additional fieldwork or assessment is recommended for Location 3.

4. The Late Archaic Aboriginal site identified in this report as Location 4, AiHd-168, does not meet the criteria for requiring Stage 3 assessment listed in Section 2.2. Standard 1 of the Ontario Ministry of Tourism, Culture and Sport's 2011 Standards and Guidelines for Consultant Archaeologists. Location 4 is deemed to have little cultural heritage value or interest and it is considered sufficiently assessed at Stage 2. As such, no additional fieldwork or assessment is recommended for Location 4.

5. The Euro-Canadian site identified in this report as Location 5 does not meet the criteria for requiring Stage 3 assessment listed in Section 2.2. Standard 1 of the Ontario Ministry of Tourism, Culture and Sport's 2011 Standards and Guidelines for Consultant Archaeologists. Location 5 is deemed to have little cultural heritage value or interest and it is considered sufficiently assessed at Stage 2. As such, no additional fieldwork or assessment is recommended for Location 5.

6. The Euro-Canadian site identified in this report as Location 6 does not meet the criteria for requiring Stage 3 assessment listed in Section 2.2. Standard 1 of the Ontario Ministry of Tourism, Culture and Sport's 2011 Standards and Guidelines for Consultant Archaeologists. Location 6 is deemed to have little cultural heritage value or interest and it is considered sufficiently assessed at Stage 2. As such, no additional fieldwork or assessment is recommended for Location 6.

7. Woodlot surrounding Beaver Creek and accounting for 25 percent of the study area, as shown on Maps 5 to 8 of this report, was not assessed because it is situated within a protected development setback area. This area was not assessed as per instructions by the proponent. As per Section 7.8.1 Standard 1e(i, ii, iii, iv, v) of the Standards and Guidelines for Consultant Archaeologists supplementary documents are required for areas formally prohibited from development and exempt from Stage 2 assessment, but which have been identified through Stage 1 assessment as having archaeological potential. As appropriate supporting documentation has not been received from the proponent and the approval authority as of the writing of this report, a Stage 2 assessment is recommended for the woodlot because it has archaeological potential. The Stage 2 assessment should occur through test pit survey at a five metre interval.

Based on the information contained in the report, the ministry is satisfied that the fieldwork and reporting for the archaeological assessment are consistent with the ministry's 2011 Standards and Guidelines for Consultant Archaeologists and the terms and conditions for archaeological licences. This report has been entered into the Ontario Public Register of Archaeological Reports. Please note that the ministry makes no representation or warranty as to the completeness, accuracy or quality of reports in the register.

Should you require any further information regarding this matter, please feel free to contact me.

Sincerely,

Shari Prowse
Archaeology Review Officer

cc. Archaeology Licensing Officer
David Aston, MHBC
Wendy Fisher, Region of Waterloo

¹In no way will the ministry be liable for any harm, damages, costs, expenses, losses, claims or actions that may result: (a) if the Report(s) or its recommendations are discovered to be inaccurate, incomplete, misleading or fraudulent; or (b) from the issuance of this letter. Further measures may need to be taken in the event that additional artifacts or archaeological sites are identified or the Report(s) is otherwise found to be inaccurate, incomplete, misleading or fraudulent.